	Case 2:21-cv-01414-DAD-DMC Docume	nt 58 Filed 11/02/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAYMOND ALFORD BRADFORD,	No. 2:21-CV-1414-DAD-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	VALLEY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 51, to defer ruling on	
19	Defendants' motion to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status.	
20	Plaintiff states his motion is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
21	56(d). See ECF No. 51. The Court does not agree. Rule 56(d) allows the Court to defer	
22	consideration of a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 when facts are not available to	
23	the opposing party. Because Defendants' pending motion concerns revocation of in forma	
24	pauperis status and not summary judgment, Rule 56(d) is inapplicable. The Court will, however,	
25	on its own motion grant Plaintiff an extension of time to file an opposition to Defendants'	
26	pending motion.	
27	///	
28		
		1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion to defer ruling under Rule 56(d), ECF No. 51, is denied; Plaintiff is granted an extension of time to file an opposition to Defendants' 2. motion, ECF No. 48, to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status; and 3. Plaintiff's opposition is due within 30 days of the date of this order. Dated: November 1, 2022 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE